

Representations of $GL_n(D)$ near the identity

Marie-France Vignéras

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu

Satellite Conference in Number Theory of International Congress of Basic
Science, 2023 July 13

2014 Morra

For any absolutely irreducible admissible infinite dimensional representation π of $G = GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ over a field R of characteristic p , Morra

2014 Morra

For any absolutely irreducible admissible infinite dimensional representation π of $G = GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ over a field R of characteristic p , Morra

1. described the restriction of π to the pro- p Iwahori subgroup $I_{1/2} = 1 + \mathfrak{i}_{1/2}$ where

$$\mathfrak{i}_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

2014 Morra

For any absolutely irreducible admissible infinite dimensional representation π of $G = GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ over a field R of characteristic p , Morra

1. described the restriction of π to the pro- p Iwahori subgroup $I_{1/2} = 1 + \mathfrak{i}_{1/2}$ where

$$\mathfrak{i}_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. showed that the dimensions of invariants of π by the j -th congruence subgroup $I_{(1/2)+j} = 1 + \mathfrak{p}^j \mathfrak{i}_{1/2}$ for $j \geq 0$ is

$$\dim_R \pi^{I_{(1/2)+j}} = -2 + 4p^j \quad (\text{resp. } = -1 + 4p^j)$$

if π is supersingular (resp. infinite dimensional not supersingular).

2014 Morra

For any absolutely irreducible admissible infinite dimensional representation π of $G = GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ over a field R of characteristic p , Morra

1. described the restriction of π to the pro- p Iwahori subgroup $I_{1/2} = 1 + \mathfrak{i}_{1/2}$ where

$$\mathfrak{i}_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. showed that the dimensions of invariants of π by the j -th congruence subgroup $I_{(1/2)+j} = 1 + \mathfrak{p}^j \mathfrak{i}_{1/2}$ for $j \geq 0$ is

$$\dim_R \pi^{I_{(1/2)+j}} = -2 + 4p^j \quad (\text{resp. } = -1 + 4p^j)$$

if π is supersingular (resp. infinite dimensional not supersingular).

A similar result holds true for R of any characteristic.

General F, \underline{G}, R

General F, \underline{G}, R

F a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

General F, \underline{G}, R

F a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$
 \underline{G} connected reductive F -group,

General F, \underline{G}, R

F a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

\underline{G} connected reductive F -group,

R field (coefficients, any characteristic, not necessarily algebraically closed).

π irreducible admissible R -representation of $G = \underline{G}(F)$

General F, \underline{G}, R

F a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

\underline{G} connected reductive F -group,

R field (coefficients, any characteristic, not necessarily algebraically closed).

π irreducible admissible R -representation of $G = \underline{G}(F)$

admissible: for any K compact open subgroup of G , the space π^K of K -fixed vectors has finite dimension and $\pi = \bigcup_K \pi^K$.

General F, \underline{G}, R

F a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

\underline{G} connected reductive F -group,

R field (coefficients, any characteristic, not necessarily algebraically closed).

π irreducible admissible R -representation of $G = \underline{G}(F)$

admissible: for any K compact open subgroup of G , the space π^K of K -fixed vectors has finite dimension and $\pi = \bigcup_K \pi^K$.

1. Describe the restriction of π to some open compact subgroup K near the identity.

General F, \underline{G}, R

F a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

\underline{G} connected reductive F -group,

R field (coefficients, any characteristic, not necessarily algebraically closed).

π irreducible admissible R -representation of $G = \underline{G}(F)$

admissible: for any K compact open subgroup of G , the space π^K of K -fixed vectors has finite dimension and $\pi = \bigcup_K \pi^K$.

1. Describe the restriction of π to some open compact subgroup K near the identity.
2. Compute the dimension of the invariants of π by the j -th congruence subgroup of an arbitrary Moy-Prasad pro- p subgroup K when j is large enough

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}, \text{char}_F = 0$

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{char}_F = 0$

1973 Casselman $G = GL_2(F)$

1974 Howe $G = GL_n(F)$, π cuspidal.

1978 Harish-Chandra

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{char}_F = 0$

1973 Casselman $G = GL_2(F)$

1974 Howe $G = GL_n(F)$, π cuspidal.

1978 Harish-Chandra

1987 Mœglin-Waldspurger $p \neq 2$

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{char}_F = 0$

1973 Casselman $G = GL_2(F)$

1974 Howe $G = GL_n(F)$, π cuspidal.

1978 Harish-Chandra

1987 Mœglin-Waldspurger $p \neq 2$

1996 Magdy Assem

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{char}_F = 0$

1973 Casselman $G = GL_2(F)$

1974 Howe $G = GL_n(F)$, π cuspidal.

1978 Harish-Chandra

1987 Mœglin-Waldspurger $p \neq 2$

1996 Magdy Assem

1997 Huntsinger

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{char}_F = 0$

1973 Casselman $G = GL_2(F)$

1974 Howe $G = GL_n(F)$, π cuspidal.

1978 Harish-Chandra

1987 Mœglin-Waldspurger $p \neq 2$

1996 Magdy Assem

1997 Huntsinger

2014 Sandeep Varma

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{char}_F = 0$

1973 Casselman $G = GL_2(F)$

1974 Howe $G = GL_n(F)$, π cuspidal.

1978 Harish-Chandra

1987 Mœglin-Waldspurger $p \neq 2$

1996 Magdy Assem

1997 Huntsinger

2014 Sandeep Varma

New $\text{char}_R \neq p$

History

Past Hypotheses $R = \mathbb{C}$, $\text{char}_F = 0$

1973 Casselman $G = GL_2(F)$

1974 Howe $G = GL_n(F)$, π cuspidal.

1978 Harish-Chandra

1987 Mœglin-Waldspurger $p \neq 2$

1996 Magdy Assem

1997 Huntsinger

2014 Sandeep Varma

New $\text{char}_R \neq p$

2023 (arXiv) Henniart-Vignéras $G = GL_n(D)$

1970-1980

Casselman: any two infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations π, π' of $GL_2(F)$ with the same central character restricted to $GL_2(O_F)$ are isomorphic up to finite dimensional parts.

1970-1980

Casselman: any two infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations π, π' of $GL_2(F)$ with the same central character restricted to $GL_2(O_F)$ are isomorphic up to finite dimensional parts.

Howe: for any irreducible cuspidal complex representation π of $G = GL_n(F)$, there are unique integers $c_\pi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ of n , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that

1970-1980

Casselman: any two infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations π, π' of $GL_2(F)$ with the same central character restricted to $GL_2(O_F)$ are isomorphic up to finite dimensional parts.

Howe: for any irreducible cuspidal complex representation π of $G = GL_n(F)$, there are unique integers $c_\pi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ of n , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that

$$(*) \quad \pi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} c_\pi(\lambda) \operatorname{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1 \text{ on } K,$$

and $c_\pi(\lambda) = 1$ if $\lambda = (1^n)$

1970-1980

Casselman: any two infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations π, π' of $GL_2(F)$ with the same central character restricted to $GL_2(O_F)$ are isomorphic up to finite dimensional parts.

Howe: for any irreducible cuspidal complex representation π of $G = GL_n(F)$, there are unique integers $c_\pi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ of n , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that

$$(*) \quad \pi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} c_\pi(\lambda) \operatorname{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1 \text{ on } K,$$

and $c_\pi(\lambda) = 1$ if $\lambda = (1^n)$
 $B \subset P_\lambda$, $M_\lambda \simeq \prod_i GL_{\lambda_i}(F)$.

1970-1980

Casselman: any two infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations π, π' of $GL_2(F)$ with the same central character restricted to $GL_2(O_F)$ are isomorphic up to finite dimensional parts.

Howe: for any irreducible cuspidal complex representation π of $G = GL_n(F)$, there are unique integers $c_\pi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ of n , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that

$$(*) \quad \pi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} c_\pi(\lambda) \operatorname{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1 \text{ on } K,$$

and $c_\pi(\lambda) = 1$ if $\lambda = (1^n)$
 $B \subset P_\lambda$, $M_\lambda \simeq \prod_i GL_{\lambda_i}(F)$.

First part of H. for any irreducible π and implies C because for $n = 2$

(*) $\pi = c_\pi(0) + \operatorname{ind}_B^G 1$ on K .

1970-1980

Casselman: any two infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations π, π' of $GL_2(F)$ with the same central character restricted to $GL_2(O_F)$ are isomorphic up to finite dimensional parts.

Howe: for any irreducible cuspidal complex representation π of $G = GL_n(F)$, there are unique integers $c_\pi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ of n , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that

$$(*) \quad \pi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} c_\pi(\lambda) \operatorname{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1 \text{ on } K,$$

and $c_\pi(\lambda) = 1$ if $\lambda = (1^n)$
 $B \subset P_\lambda$, $M_\lambda \simeq \prod_i GL_{\lambda_i}(F)$.

First part of H. for any irreducible π and implies C because for $n = 2$

(*) $\pi = c_\pi(0) + \operatorname{ind}_B^G 1$ on K .

(*) is an equality of the G -invariant linear forms on $C_c^\infty(G; \mathbb{C})$

$$f \mapsto \operatorname{trace} \pi(f dg), \quad \pi(f dg) = \int_G f(g) \pi(g) dg.$$

1970-1980

Casselman: any two infinite dimensional irreducible complex representations π, π' of $GL_2(F)$ with the same central character restricted to $GL_2(O_F)$ are isomorphic up to finite dimensional parts.

Howe: for any irreducible cuspidal complex representation π of $G = GL_n(F)$, there are unique integers $c_\pi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ of n , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that

$$(*) \quad \pi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} c_\pi(\lambda) \operatorname{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1 \text{ on } K,$$

and $c_\pi(\lambda) = 1$ if $\lambda = (1^n)$
 $B \subset P_\lambda$, $M_\lambda \simeq \prod_i GL_{\lambda_i}(F)$.

First part of H. for any irreducible π and implies C because for $n = 2$

(*) $\pi = c_\pi(0) + \operatorname{ind}_B^G 1$ on K .

(*) is an equality of the G -invariant linear forms on $C_c^\infty(G; \mathbb{C})$

$$f \mapsto \operatorname{trace} \pi(f dg), \quad \pi(f dg) = \int_G f(g) \pi(g) dg.$$

$$(**) \quad \operatorname{trace} \pi(f dg) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)} c_\pi(\lambda) \operatorname{trace}(\operatorname{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1(f dg))$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$.

The set $\mathfrak{O}(0)$ of nilpotent G -orbits in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = M_n(F)$ is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n (well known).

$$\text{trace}(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda}^G 1(f) dg) = \int_{\mathfrak{O}_\lambda} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX$$

$\varphi(X) = f(1 + X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, 1 + X \in K, \varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise, Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$.

The set $\mathfrak{D}(0)$ of nilpotent G -orbits in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = M_n(F)$ is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n (well known).

$$\text{trace}(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda}^G 1(f) dg) = \int_{\mathfrak{D}_\lambda} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX$$

$\varphi(X) = f(1 + X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, 1 + X \in K$, $\varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise, Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$.

Harish-Chandra: For any irreducible complex representation π of G , there are unique complex numbers $c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for the nilpotent orbits \mathfrak{D} , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that for $f \in C_c^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$,

The set $\mathfrak{D}(0)$ of nilpotent G -orbits in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = M_n(F)$ is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n (well known).

$$\text{trace}(\text{ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_\lambda}^G 1(f) dg) = \int_{\mathfrak{D}_\lambda} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX$$

$\varphi(X) = f(1 + X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, 1 + X \in K$, $\varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise, Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$.

Harish-Chandra: For any irreducible complex representation π of G , there are unique complex numbers $c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for the nilpotent orbits \mathfrak{D} , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that for $f \in C_c^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$,

$$(**) \text{ trace} \pi(f dg) = \sum_{\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{D}(0)} c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ on } K$$

$\varphi(X) = f(\exp(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, \exp(X) \in K$, $\varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise.

The set $\mathfrak{D}(0)$ of nilpotent G -orbits in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = M_n(F)$ is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n (well known).

$$\text{trace}(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda}^G 1(f) dg) = \int_{\mathfrak{D}_\lambda} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX$$

$\varphi(X) = f(1 + X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, 1 + X \in K, \varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise, Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$.

Harish-Chandra: For any irreducible complex representation π of G , there are unique complex numbers $c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for the nilpotent orbits \mathfrak{D} , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that for $f \in C_c^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$,

$$(**) \text{ trace} \pi(f dg) = \sum_{\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{D}(0)} c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ on } K$$

$\varphi(X) = f(\exp(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, \exp(X) \in K, \varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise.

The set of maximal elements of

$$WF(\pi) = \{\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{D}(0) \mid c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \neq 0\}$$

for the usual order $\mathfrak{D}' \leq \mathfrak{D}$ if $\mathfrak{D}' \subset \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$, is sometimes called **wave front set of π** .

The set $\mathfrak{D}(0)$ of nilpotent G -orbits in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = M_n(F)$ is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n (well known).

$$\text{trace}(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda}^G 1(f) dg) = \int_{\mathfrak{D}_\lambda} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX$$

$\varphi(X) = f(1 + X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $1 + X \in K$, $\varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise, Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$.

Harish-Chandra: For any irreducible complex representation π of G , there are unique complex numbers $c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for the nilpotent orbits \mathfrak{D} , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that for $f \in C_c^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$,

$$(**) \text{ trace} \pi(f dg) = \sum_{\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{D}(0)} c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ on } K$$

$\varphi(X) = f(\exp(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\exp(X) \in K$, $\varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise.

The set of maximal elements of

$$WF(\pi) = \{\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{D}(0) \mid c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \neq 0\}$$

for the usual order $\mathfrak{D}' \leq \mathfrak{D}$ if $\mathfrak{D}' \subset \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$, is sometimes called **wave front set of π** .

The dimension of a nilpotent orbit, is an even number $\dim_F \mathfrak{D} = 2d(\mathfrak{D})$. The so-called **Gelfand-Kirillov of π** is half of the dimension of $WF(\pi)$.

The set $\mathfrak{D}(0)$ of nilpotent G -orbits in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = M_n(F)$ is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n (well known).

$$\text{trace}(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{P}_\lambda}^G 1(f) dg) = \int_{\mathfrak{D}_\lambda} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX$$

$\varphi(X) = f(1 + X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, 1 + X \in K, \varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise, Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$.

Harish-Chandra: For any irreducible complex representation π of G , there are unique complex numbers $c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \in \mathbb{C}$ for the nilpotent orbits \mathfrak{D} , and some open pro- p subgroup K of G such that for $f \in C_c^\infty(K; \mathbb{C})$,

$$(**) \text{ trace} \pi(f dg) = \sum_{\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{D}(0)} c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(X) dX_{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ on } K$$

$\varphi(X) = f(\exp(X))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}, \exp(X) \in K, \varphi(X) = 0$ otherwise.

The set of maximal elements of

$$WF(\pi) = \{\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{D}(0) \mid c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \neq 0\}$$

for the usual order $\mathfrak{D}' \leq \mathfrak{D}$ if $\mathfrak{D}' \subset \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$, is sometimes called **wave front set of π** .

The dimension of a nilpotent orbit, is an even number $\dim_F \mathfrak{D} = 2d(\mathfrak{D})$. The so-called **Gelfand-Kirillov of π** is half of the dimension of $WF(\pi)$.

HC. extended only partially H. to any G . No integrality of the coefficients for a good choice of measures. No relation between the nilpotent integral orbitals in the RHS and the trace of a virtual finite length representation.

1987-2014 complex case

1987-2014 complex case

$c_\pi(\mathfrak{D})$ is the dimension of a space of degenerate Whittaker forms associated to \mathfrak{D} when $\mathfrak{D} \in WF(\pi)$ (Moeglin-Waldspurger 1987 if $p \neq 2$ and Varma 2014 for all p).
proved .

1987-2014 complex case

$c_\pi(\mathfrak{D})$ is the dimension of a space of degenerate Whittaker forms associated to \mathfrak{D} when $\mathfrak{D} \in WF(\pi)$ (Moeglin-Waldspurger 1987 if $p \neq 2$ and Varma 2014 for all p).
proved .

$c_\pi(0)$ is the formal degree if π is essentially square-integrable and is 0 if π is tempered but not e.s.i. (Huntsinger 1997).

1987-2014 complex case

$c_\pi(\mathfrak{D})$ is the dimension of a space of degenerate Whittaker forms associated to \mathfrak{D} when $\mathfrak{D} \in WF(\pi)$ (Moeglin-Waldspurger 1987 if $p \neq 2$ and Varma 2014 for all p).
proved .

$c_\pi(0)$ is the formal degree if π is essentially square-integrable and is 0 if π is tempered but not e.s.i. (Huntsinger 1997).

The nilpotent orbital integrals and the Igusa local zeta functions which are rational, and there are measures such that for all field $R \subset \mathbb{C}$, and all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}; E)$,
 $\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \varphi(X) dX_{\mathfrak{D}} \in E$ (Assem 1995)

1987-2014 complex case

$c_\pi(\mathfrak{D})$ is the dimension of a space of degenerate Whittaker forms associated to \mathfrak{D} when $\mathfrak{D} \in WF(\pi)$ (Moeglin-Waldspurger 1987 if $p \neq 2$ and Varma 2014 for all p).
proved .

$c_\pi(0)$ is the formal degree if π is essentially square-integrable and is 0 if π is tempered but not e.s.i. (Huntsinger 1997).

The nilpotent orbital integrals and the Igusa local zeta functions which are rational, and there are measures such that for all field $R \subset \mathbb{C}$, and all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}; E)$,
 $\int_{\mathfrak{D}} \varphi(X) dX_{\mathfrak{D}} \in E$ (Assem 1995)

There are measures such that $c_\pi(\mathfrak{D}) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all \mathfrak{D} , using A. and generalizing the method of H. (Varma 2014).

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

- There are (unique) integers $c_\pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that the restrictions of π and of $\bigoplus_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) \text{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1$ to some open compact subgroup are isomorphic.

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

- There are (unique) integers $c_\pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that the restrictions of π and of $\bigoplus_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) \text{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1$ to some open compact subgroup are isomorphic.
- $\dim_R \pi^{G_{x,r+j}} = P(q^{dj})$ for $j \gg 0$, where $P(X) = \sum_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) |P_\lambda \backslash G / G_{x,r}| X^{d_\lambda}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\max\{d_\lambda \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$.

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

- There are (unique) integers $c_\pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that the restrictions of π and of $\bigoplus_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) \text{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1$ to some open compact subgroup are isomorphic.
- $\dim_R \pi^{G_{x,r+j}} = P(q^{dj})$ for $j \gg 0$, where $P(X) = \sum_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) |P_\lambda \backslash G / G_{x,r}| X^{d_\lambda}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\max\{d_\lambda \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$.
- For λ minimal in $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}(n) \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$, $c_\pi(\lambda)$ is the dimension of degenerate Whittaker forms, if R is algebraically closed.

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

- There are (unique) integers $c_\pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that the restrictions of π and of $\bigoplus_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) \text{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1$ to some open compact subgroup are isomorphic.
- $\dim_R \pi^{G_{x,r+j}} = P(q^{dj})$ for $j \gg 0$, where $P(X) = \sum_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) |P_\lambda \backslash G / G_{x,r}| X^{d_\lambda}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\max\{d_\lambda \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$.
- For λ minimal in $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}(n) \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$, $c_\pi(\lambda)$ is the dimension of degenerate Whittaker forms, if R is algebraically closed.
- $(-1)^{dn} c_\tau(d\lambda) = (-1)^n c_{JL(\tau)}(\lambda)$ for any irreducible admissible representation τ of $G = GL_{dn}(F)$, and any partition λ of n , if R is algebraically closed.

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

- There are (unique) integers $c_\pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that the restrictions of π and of $\bigoplus_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) \text{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1$ to some open compact subgroup are isomorphic.
- $\dim_R \pi^{G_{x,r+j}} = P(q^{dj})$ for $j \gg 0$, where $P(X) = \sum_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) |P_\lambda \backslash G / G_{x,r}| X^{d_\lambda}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\max\{d_\lambda \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$.
- For λ minimal in $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}(n) \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$, $c_\pi(\lambda)$ is the dimension of degenerate Whittaker forms, if R is algebraically closed.
- $(-1)^{dn} c_\tau(d\lambda) = (-1)^n c_{JL(\tau)}(\lambda)$ for any irreducible admissible representation τ of $G = GL_{dn}(F)$, and any partition λ of n , if R is algebraically closed.

x in the Bruhat-Tits of G , $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $G_{x,r}$ is the Moy-Prasad subgroup of G , $G_{x,r+j}$ the j -th congruence subgroup, q order of the residue field of F .

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

- There are (unique) integers $c_\pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that the restrictions of π and of $\bigoplus_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) \text{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1$ to some open compact subgroup are isomorphic.
- $\dim_R \pi^{G_{x,r+j}} = P(q^{dj})$ for $j \gg 0$, where $P(X) = \sum_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) |P_\lambda \backslash G / G_{x,r}| X^{d_\lambda}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\max\{d_\lambda \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$.
- For λ minimal in $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}(n) \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$, $c_\pi(\lambda)$ is the dimension of degenerate Whittaker forms, if R is algebraically closed.
- $(-1)^{dn} c_\tau(d\lambda) = (-1)^n c_{JL(\tau)}(\lambda)$ for any irreducible admissible representation τ of $G = GL_{dn}(F)$, and any partition λ of n , if R is algebraically closed.

x in the Bruhat-Tits of G , $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $G_{x,r}$ is the Moy-Prasad subgroup of G , $G_{x,r+j}$ the j -th congruence subgroup, q order of the residue field of F .

$\dim_F \mathcal{D}_\lambda = 2d_\lambda$, $d_\lambda = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j$, possible values $(0, n-1, \dots, n(n-1)/2)$.

Henniart-Vigneras 2023

$\text{char}_R \neq p$, D central division F -algebra of dimension d^2

H. is true for any irreducible admissible R -representation π of $GL_n(D)$.

The proof uses the works of Lemaire ($\text{char}_F = p$), Minguez-Sécherre ($\text{char}_R = \ell$).

- There are (unique) integers $c_\pi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that the restrictions of π and of $\bigoplus_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) \text{ind}_{P_\lambda}^G 1$ to some open compact subgroup are isomorphic.
- $\dim_R \pi^{G_{x,r+j}} = P(q^{dj})$ for $j \gg 0$, where $P(X) = \sum_\lambda c_\pi(\lambda) |P_\lambda \backslash G / G_{x,r}| X^{d_\lambda}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\max\{d_\lambda \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$.
- For λ minimal in $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}(n) \mid c_\pi(\lambda) \neq 0\}$, $c_\pi(\lambda)$ is the dimension of degenerate Whittaker forms, if R is algebraically closed.
- $(-1)^{dn} c_\tau(d\lambda) = (-1)^n c_{JL(\tau)}(\lambda)$ for any irreducible admissible representation τ of $G = GL_{dn}(F)$, and any partition λ of n , if R is algebraically closed.

x in the Bruhat-Tits of G , $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $G_{x,r}$ is the Moy-Prasad subgroup of G , $G_{x,r+j}$ the j -th congruence subgroup, q order of the residue field of F .

$\dim_F \mathcal{O}_\lambda = 2d_\lambda$, $d_\lambda = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j$, possible values $(0, n-1, \dots, n(n-1)/2)$.

H-V. extends to the Grothendieck group $Gr_R(G)$ of admissible finite length representations and is compatible with Langlands-Jacquet LJ from $GL_{dn}(F)$ to $GL_n(D)$ when R is algebraically closed of characteristic $\neq p$ (Badulescu, Minguez-Sécherre).

The minimal GK-dimension of π infinite dimensional is $n - 1$ (this was conjectured for $F = D = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in 2012 Calegari-Emerton's paper on homological stability for completed cohomology).

The minimal GK-dimension of π infinite dimensional is $n - 1$ (this was conjectured for $F = D = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in 2012 Calegari-Emerton's paper on homological stability for completed cohomology). The maximal one is $n(n - 1)/2$.

$$G = GL_2(D)$$

The minimal GK-dimension of π infinite dimensional is $n - 1$ (this was conjectured for $F = D = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in 2012 Calegari-Emerton's paper on homological stability for completed cohomology). The maximal one is $n(n - 1)/2$.

$$G = GL_2(D)$$

Moy-Prasad lattices

$$\mathfrak{k}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} O_D & O_D \\ O_D & O_D \end{pmatrix} \supset \mathfrak{i}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} O_D & O_D \\ P_D & O_D \end{pmatrix} \supset \mathfrak{i}_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} P_D & O_D \\ P_D & P_D \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\supset p_D \mathfrak{k}_0 \supset p_D \mathfrak{i}_0 \supset p_D \mathfrak{i}_{1/2} \supset \dots \text{ (indices multiplied by } d \text{)}$$

The minimal GK-dimension of π infinite dimensional is $n - 1$ (this was conjectured for $F = D = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in 2012 Calegari-Emerton's paper on homological stability for completed cohomology). The maximal one is $n(n - 1)/2$.

$$G = GL_2(D)$$

Moy-Prasad lattices

$$\mathfrak{k}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} O_D & O_D \\ O_D & O_D \end{pmatrix} \supset \mathfrak{i}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} O_D & O_D \\ P_D & O_D \end{pmatrix} \supset \mathfrak{i}_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} P_D & O_D \\ P_D & P_D \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\supset p_D \mathfrak{k}_0 \supset p_D \mathfrak{i}_0 \supset p_D \mathfrak{i}_{1/2} \supset \dots \text{ (indices multiplied by } d \text{)}$$

Moy-Prasad subgroups

$$K_0 = GL_2(O_D) = \mathfrak{k}_0^* \supset I_0 = \mathfrak{i}_0^* \text{ Iwahori group} \supset I_{1/2} = 1 + \mathfrak{i}_{1/2} \text{ pro-} p \text{ Iwahori group}$$

$$\supset K_1 = 1 + p_D \mathfrak{k}_0 \supset I_1 = 1 + p_D \mathfrak{i}_0 \supset I_{1/2+1} = 1 + p_D \mathfrak{i}_{1/2} \supset \dots$$

$$|B \backslash G / I_{1/2}| = 2, \quad |B \backslash G / K_1| = q^d + 1, \quad |B \backslash G / I_1| = 2q^d, \quad |B \backslash G / H_j| = |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}.$$

$$|B \backslash G / I_{1/2}| = 2, |B \backslash G / K_1| = q^d + 1, |B \backslash G / I_1| = 2q^d, |B \backslash G / H_j| = |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}.$$

B upper triangular group, $H_j = I_{1/2+j}, K_{1+j}, I_{1+j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$|B \backslash G / I_{1/2}| = 2, |B \backslash G / K_1| = q^d + 1, |B \backslash G / I_1| = 2q^d, |B \backslash G / H_j| = |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}.$$

B upper triangular group, $H_j = I_{1/2+j}, K_{1+j}, I_{1+j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

- R of any characteristic.

$$|B \backslash G / I_{1/2}| = 2, |B \backslash G / K_1| = q^d + 1, |B \backslash G / I_1| = 2q^d, |B \backslash G / H_j| = |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}.$$

B upper triangular group, $H_j = I_{1/2+j}, K_{1+j}, I_{1+j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

- R of any characteristic.

Parabolic induction, σ irreducible smooth R -representation of the diagonal subgroup,

$$\mathrm{ind}_B^G \sigma = \bigoplus^{\dim_R \sigma} \mathrm{ind}_B^G 1 \quad \text{on } H_j, \quad j \geq j_\sigma, \quad (j_\sigma = 0 \text{ if } \mathrm{char}_R = p),$$

and $\dim_R(\mathrm{ind}_B^G \sigma)^{H_j} = \dim_R \sigma |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}$.

$$|B \backslash G / I_{1/2}| = 2, |B \backslash G / K_1| = q^d + 1, |B \backslash G / I_1| = 2q^d, |B \backslash G / H_j| = |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}.$$

B upper triangular group, $H_j = I_{1/2+j}, K_{1+j}, I_{1+j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

- R of any characteristic.

Parabolic induction, σ irreducible smooth R -representation of the diagonal subgroup,

$$\text{ind}_B^G \sigma = \bigoplus^{\dim_R \sigma} \text{ind}_B^G \mathbf{1} \quad \text{on } H_j, \quad j \geq j_\sigma, \quad (j_\sigma = 0 \text{ if } \text{char}_R = p),$$

and $\dim_R(\text{ind}_B^G \sigma)^{H_j} = \dim_R \sigma |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}$.

Steinberg $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \text{ind}_B^G \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \text{St} \rightarrow 0$ exact

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow (\text{ind}_B^G \mathbf{1})^{H_j} \rightarrow \text{St}^{H_j} \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact} \quad (\text{Morra for } F = \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

$$\dim_R \text{St}^{H_j} = -1 + |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}$$

$$|B \backslash G / I_{1/2}| = 2, |B \backslash G / K_1| = q^d + 1, |B \backslash G / I_1| = 2q^d, |B \backslash G / H_j| = |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}.$$

B upper triangular group, $H_j = I_{1/2+j}, K_{1+j}, I_{1+j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

- R of any characteristic.

Parabolic induction, σ irreducible smooth R -representation of the diagonal subgroup,

$$\text{ind}_B^G \sigma = \bigoplus^{\dim_R \sigma} \text{ind}_B^G 1 \quad \text{on } H_j, \quad j \geq j_\sigma, \quad (j_\sigma = 0 \text{ if } \text{char}_R = p),$$

and $\dim_R(\text{ind}_B^G \sigma)^{H_j} = \dim_R \sigma |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}$.

Steinberg $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \text{ind}_B^G 1 \rightarrow \text{St} \rightarrow 0$ exact

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow (\text{ind}_B^G 1)^{H_j} \rightarrow \text{St}^{H_j} \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact} \quad (\text{Morra for } F = \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

$$\dim_R \text{St}^{H_j} = -1 + |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}$$

- $\text{char}_R \neq p$ and $\pi \in \text{Gr}_R(G)$. There are integers a_π, b_π, j_π such that

$$\pi = a_\pi + b_\pi \text{ind}_B^G 1 \quad \text{on } H_j, \quad j \geq j_\pi$$

$$\dim_R \pi^{H_j} = a_\pi + b_\pi |B \backslash G / H_0| q^{dj}.$$

For R algebraically closed, D_{2d} central division F -algebra of dimension $\dim_F D_{2d} = 4d^2$, $LJ : Gr_R(GL_2(D)) \rightarrow Gr_R(D_{2d}^*)$,

$$a_\pi = -\dim_R LJ(\pi)$$

(For $\tau \in Gr_R(D_{2d})$ not 0, $\dim_R \tau = 0$ is possible).

For R algebraically closed, D_{2d} central division F -algebra of dimension $\dim_F D_{2d} = 4d^2$, $LJ : Gr_R(GL_2(D)) \rightarrow Gr_R(D_{2d}^*)$,

$$a_\pi = -\dim_R LJ(\pi)$$

(For $\tau \in Gr_R(D_{2d})$ not 0, $\dim_R \tau = 0$ is possible).
Moreover, if π is irreducible infinite dimensional

$$b_\pi = \dim_R V_{U,\theta} \neq 0$$

for any non-trivial smooth R -character ψ of the strictly upper triangular group U , $\pi_{U,\psi}$ the maximal quotient of π on which U acts by ψ .

For R algebraically closed, D_{2d} central division F -algebra of dimension $\dim_F D_{2d} = 4d^2$, $LJ : Gr_R(GL_2(D)) \rightarrow Gr_R(D_{2d}^*)$,

$$a_\pi = -\dim_R LJ(\pi)$$

(For $\tau \in Gr_R(D_{2d})$ not 0, $\dim_R \tau = 0$ is possible).
Moreover, if π is irreducible infinite dimensional

$$b_\pi = \dim_R V_{U,\theta} \neq 0$$

for any non-trivial smooth R -character ψ of the strictly upper triangular group U , $\pi_{U,\psi}$ the maximal quotient of π on which U acts by ψ .

- $R = \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$, $p \neq 2$, $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, π irreducible supersingular. By Morra,

For R algebraically closed, D_{2d} central division F -algebra of dimension $\dim_F D_{2d} = 4d^2$, $LJ : Gr_R(GL_2(D)) \rightarrow Gr_R(D_{2d}^*)$,

$$a_\pi = -\dim_R LJ(\pi)$$

(For $\tau \in Gr_R(D_{2d})$ not 0, $\dim_R \tau = 0$ is possible).
 Moreover, if π is irreducible infinite dimensional

$$b_\pi = \dim_R V_{U,\theta} \neq 0$$

for any non-trivial smooth R -character ψ of the strictly upper triangular group U , $\pi_{U,\psi}$ the maximal quotient of π on which U acts by ψ .

- $R = \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$, $p \neq 2$, $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, π irreducible supersingular. By Morra,

$$\dim_R \pi^{H_j} = a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G / H_0| p^j$$

For R is algebraically closed, D_{2d} central division F -algebra of dimension $\dim_F D_{2d} = 4d^2$, $LJ : Gr_R(GL_2(D)) \rightarrow Gr_R(D_{2d}^*)$,

$$a_\pi = -\dim_R LJ(\pi)$$

(For $\tau \in Gr_R(D_{2d})$ not 0, $\dim_R \tau = 0$ is possible).
 Moreover, if π is irreducible infinite dimensional

$$b_\pi = \dim_R V_{U,\theta} \neq 0$$

for any non-trivial smooth R -character ψ of the strictly upper triangular group U , $\pi_{U,\psi}$ the maximal quotient of π on which U acts by ψ .

- $R = \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$, $p \neq 2$, $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, π irreducible supersingular. By Morra,

$$\dim_R \pi^{H_j} = a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G / H_0| p^j$$

$$a_{\pi, H_0} = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } H_0 = I_{1/2} \\ -3 & \text{if } H_0 = K_1 \text{ and } \pi = \chi\pi_0 \text{ is the twist of } \pi_0 \text{ by a smooth character } \chi \\ -4 & \text{if } H_0 = K_1 \text{ and } \pi \text{ not as above} \end{cases}$$

$\pi_0 =$ supersingular quotient of $R[\mathbb{Q}_p^* GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$.

For R is algebraically closed, D_{2d} central division F -algebra of dimension $\dim_F D_{2d} = 4d^2$, $LJ : Gr_R(GL_2(D)) \rightarrow Gr_R(D_{2d}^*)$,

$$a_\pi = -\dim_R LJ(\pi)$$

(For $\tau \in Gr_R(D_{2d})$ not 0, $\dim_R \tau = 0$ is possible).
 Moreover, if π is irreducible infinite dimensional

$$b_\pi = \dim_R V_{U,\theta} \neq 0$$

for any non-trivial smooth R -character ψ of the strictly upper triangular group U , $\pi_{U,\psi}$ the maximal quotient of π on which U acts by ψ .

- $R = \mathbb{F}_p^{ac}$, $p \neq 2$, $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, π irreducible supersingular. By Morra,

$$\dim_R \pi^{H_j} = a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G / H_0| p^j$$

$$a_{\pi, H_0} = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } H_0 = I_{1/2} \\ -3 & \text{if } H_0 = K_1 \text{ and } \pi = \chi\pi_0 \text{ is the twist of } \pi_0 \text{ by a smooth character } \chi \\ -4 & \text{if } H_0 = K_1 \text{ and } \pi \text{ not as above} \end{cases}$$

$\pi_0 =$ supersingular quotient of $R[\mathbb{Q}_p^* GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$.
 (missing $H_0 = I_1$),

$\text{char}_R = p, \text{char}_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

$\text{char}_R = p, \text{char}_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

$\text{char}_R = p, \text{char}_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

Any K contains an open normal uniform subgroup.

$\text{char}_R = p, \text{char}_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

Any K contains an open normal uniform subgroup.

Uniform = torsion free, pro- p group, powerful:

$\text{char}_R = p, \text{char}_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

Any K contains an open normal uniform subgroup.

Uniform = torsion free, pro- p group, powerful:

K/K^p is abelian if $p \neq 2$, K/K^4 is abelian if $p = 2$,

K^{p^j} is the closure of the subgroup generated by the p^j -th power of the elements of K .

$\text{char}_R = p, \text{char}_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

Any K contains an open normal uniform subgroup.

Uniform = torsion free, pro- p group, powerful:

K/K^p is abelian if $p \neq 2$, K/K^4 is abelian if $p = 2$,

K^{p^j} is the closure of the subgroup generated by the p^j -th power of the elements of K .

When K is uniform, $R[[K]]$ is a local non-commutative Noetherian, Auslander regular ring (Venjakob), J its Jacobson radical, the graded ring $\text{gr}(R[[K]])$ with respect to the J -adic filtration, is a polynomial ring in $m = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}$ variables.

$char_R = p, char_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

Any K contains an open normal uniform subgroup.

Uniform = torsion free, pro- p group, powerful:

K/K^p is abelian if $p \neq 2$, K/K^4 is abelian if $p = 2$,

K^{p^j} is the closure of the subgroup generated by the p^j -th power of the elements of K .

When K is uniform, $R[[K]]$ is a local non-commutative Noetherian, Auslander regular ring (Venjakob), J its Jacobson radical, the graded ring $gr(R[[K]])$ with respect to the J -adic filtration, is a polynomial ring in $m = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}$ variables.

The characteristic variety of the $R[[K]]$ -module π^\vee is the affine variety $Ch_K(gr(\pi^\vee))$ associated to the radical ideal

$$J(\pi^\vee) = \sqrt{Ann(gr(\pi^\vee))}, \quad Ann(gr(\pi^\vee)) = \{f \in gr(R[[K]]) \mid f gr(M) = 0\}.$$

$char_R = p, char_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

Any K contains an open normal uniform subgroup.

Uniform = torsion free, pro- p group, powerful:

K/K^p is abelian if $p \neq 2$, K/K^4 is abelian if $p = 2$,

K^{p^j} is the closure of the subgroup generated by the p^j -th power of the elements of K .

When K is uniform, $R[[K]]$ is a local non-commutative Noetherian, Auslander regular ring (Venjakob), J its Jacobson radical, the graded ring $gr(R[[K]])$ with respect to the J -adic filtration, is a polynomial ring in $m = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}$ variables.

The characteristic variety of the $R[[K]]$ -module π^\vee is the affine variety $Ch_K(gr(\pi^\vee))$ associated to the radical ideal

$$J(\pi^\vee) = \sqrt{Ann(gr(\pi^\vee))}, \quad Ann(gr(\pi^\vee)) = \{f \in gr(R[[K]]) \mid f gr(M) = 0\}.$$

Its dimension $d(\pi)$, called the canonical dimension (Ardakov-Brown 2006), does not depend on the choice of K .

$char_R = p, char_F = 0, \pi$ admissible.

For an open compact subgroup K of G , the algebraic dual π^\vee of π is a finitely generated module over the Iwasawa R -algebra of K

$$R[[K]] = \text{proj lim}_{U \subset_0 K} K/U$$

Any K contains an open normal uniform subgroup.

Uniform = torsion free, pro- p group, powerful:

K/K^p is abelian if $p \neq 2$, K/K^4 is abelian if $p = 2$,

K^{p^j} is the closure of the subgroup generated by the p^j -th power of the elements of K .

When K is uniform, $R[[K]]$ is a local non-commutative Noetherian, Auslander regular ring (Venjakob), J its Jacobson radical, the graded ring $gr(R[[K]])$ with respect to the J -adic filtration, is a polynomial ring in $m = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}$ variables.

The characteristic variety of the $R[[K]]$ -module π^\vee is the affine variety $Ch_K(gr(\pi^\vee))$ associated to the radical ideal

$$J(\pi^\vee) = \sqrt{Ann(gr(\pi^\vee))}, \quad Ann(gr(\pi^\vee)) = \{f \in gr(R[[K]]) \mid f gr(M) = 0\}.$$

Its dimension $d(\pi)$, called the canonical dimension (Ardakov-Brown 2006), does not depend on the choice of K .

There exist an integer j_π and real positive numbers a_π, a'_π such that for $j \geq j_\pi$,

$$a_\pi p^{jd(\pi)} \leq \dim_R \pi^{K^{p^j}} \leq a'_\pi p^{jd(\pi)}$$

(Emerton-Paskunas 2020, $d(\pi)$ has been called the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of π).

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{L})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{L} of \mathfrak{g} ?

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{L})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{L} of \mathfrak{g} ?

Is $a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G / H_0| X$ a certain Hilbert-Serre polynomial ?

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{S})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{S} of \mathfrak{g} ?

Is $a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G/H_0| X$ a certain Hilbert-Serre polynomial ?

Yongquan Hu (yes for $I_{1/2}$).

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{S})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{S} of \mathfrak{g} ?

Is $a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G/H_0| X$ a certain Hilbert-Serre polynomial ?

Yongquan Hu (yes for $I_{1/2}$).

$d(\pi)$ appears in different papers related to the search on the mod p local Langlands correspondence

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{O})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{O} of \mathfrak{g} ?

Is $a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G/H_0| X$ a certain Hilbert-Serre polynomial ?

Yongquan Hu (yes for $I_{1/2}$).

$d(\pi)$ appears in different papers related to the search on the mod p local Langlands correspondence

2017 Kohlhaase's paper on derived smooth duality.

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2)\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{L})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{L} of \mathfrak{g} ?

Is $a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G/H_0| X$ a certain Hilbert-Serre polynomial ?

Yongquan Hu (yes for $I_{1/2}$).

$d(\pi)$ appears in different papers related to the search on the mod p local Langlands correspondence

2017 Kohlhaase's paper on derived smooth duality.

2020 Gee-Newton's paper on patching and the complete homology of locally symmetric spaces.

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{S})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{S} of \mathfrak{g} ?

Is $a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G / H_0| X$ a certain Hilbert-Serre polynomial ?

Yongquan Hu (yes for $I_{1/2}$).

$d(\pi)$ appears in different papers related to the search on the mod p local Langlands correspondence

2017 Kohlhaase's paper on derived smooth duality.

2020 Gee-Newton's paper on patching and the complete homology of locally symmetric spaces.

Since 2020, Breuil-Herzig-Hu-Morra-Schraen, and Hu-Wang's papers, proved that $d(\pi) = [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ for certain admissible R -representations π of $GL_2(F)$ with a central character for F/\mathbb{Q}_p unramified appearing in the mod p cohomology of Shimura varieties.

$d(\pi) = 0$ iff π is finite dimensional.

Is $d(\pi)$ equal to $(1/2) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathfrak{S})$ for a nilpotent orbit \mathfrak{S} of \mathfrak{g} ?

Is $a_{\pi, H_0} + 2|B \backslash G/H_0| X$ a certain Hilbert-Serre polynomial ?

Yongquan Hu (yes for $I_{1/2}$).

$d(\pi)$ appears in different papers related to the search on the mod p local Langlands correspondence

2017 Kohlhaase's paper on derived smooth duality.

2020 Gee-Newton's paper on patching and the complete homology of locally symmetric spaces.

Since 2020, Breuil-Herzig-Hu-Morra-Schraen, and Hu-Wang's papers, proved that $d(\pi) = [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ for certain admissible R -representations π of $GL_2(F)$ with a central character for F/\mathbb{Q}_p unramified appearing in the mod p cohomology of Shimura varieties.

When $[F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2$ they have finite length (Timings 2023)