

$$\sigma: X = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} X \begin{pmatrix} \dots & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma \text{ sends } \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ to } \begin{pmatrix} \dots & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{-1} & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & t_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dots & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} t_n^{-1} & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & t_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Why the signs? Preserves the "positive direction" in each simple root space

e.g. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
 but $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

The Langlands dual G/F is ${}^L G := \hat{G} \rtimes \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$

often, we replace this by $\text{Gal}(E/F)$,
 where $E =$ an extn of F over which G splits.

§2 Expected Galois cohomology of étale local system

Satake isomorphism revisited (split version)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow i \\ T & & G \end{array}$$

$$\hat{T} // W \simeq \hat{G} / \text{Ad}(\hat{G})$$

$$\text{Sat}_G: \text{Hk}_G \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Hk}_B \xrightarrow{\pi_!} \text{Hk}_T$$

$$\mathbb{C}[\hat{T} // W] \simeq \mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]^{\text{Ad}(\hat{G})}$$

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}[G(\mathbb{Z}_p) \backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_p) / G(\mathbb{Z}_p)] \xrightarrow{\approx} \text{Hk}_T^W \cong \mathbb{C}[X_*(T)]^W \simeq \mathbb{C}[X^*(\hat{T})]^W$$

So a character of $\text{Hk}_G \rightsquigarrow$ a conjugacy class in \hat{G} .

e.g. $\text{Hk}_G \subset \text{C}_0 \left(\begin{matrix} n\text{-Ind}_B^G \chi \\ \uparrow \text{unram} \end{matrix} \right)^{G(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \rightsquigarrow \gamma_p = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(p) & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & \chi_n(p) \end{pmatrix}$

reflex field

Kottwitz: Given a Shimura datum $(G, X) \rightsquigarrow \mu: \mathbb{C}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$ def'd over E .

Can conjugate so that μ is a dominant cocharacter in $X_*(T) = X^*(\hat{T})$

\leadsto highest weight rep'n $\Gamma_\mu: \hat{G} \rightarrow GL(V_\mu)$

Fact Γ_μ extends to a rep'n $\Gamma_\mu: \hat{G} \times \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E) \rightarrow GL(V_\mu)$

Given an unramified rep'n $\pi_p \leadsto \gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma \in \hat{G} \times \langle \sigma \rangle$ ↖ element in the coset $\hat{G}\sigma$, unique up to conjugation by \hat{G}

\leadsto For a p-adic place v of E , get an unram. rep'n.

$$\text{Gal}_{E_v} \longrightarrow \hat{G} \times \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/E_v) \longrightarrow GL(V_\mu)(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_v)$$

$$\sigma_v = \sigma_p^m \longmapsto (\gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma)^m \longmapsto \Gamma_\mu((\gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma)^m)$$

Twist: $\chi: \text{Gal}_{E_v} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_v^\times$

$$\sigma_v \longmapsto (\sqrt{p})^{\dim \text{Sh}_G \cdot [E_v: \mathbb{Q}_p]}$$

Expectation: Given a "nice" automorphic rep'n π ,

$$\text{Hét}^{\text{mid}}(\text{Sh}_G(K_f), \underline{V}(\lambda)) = \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes W(\pi)$$

$\curvearrowright \text{Gal}_E$

some ℓ -adic local system

$W(\pi) \simeq V_\mu$ is unramified at v where K_p is hyperspecial. & $\cong G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

$$\text{Gal}_{E_v} \longrightarrow GL(V_\mu)$$

$$\sigma_v \longmapsto \Gamma_\mu((\gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma)^m) \otimes \chi(\sigma_v).$$

§3 Cohomology of automorphic vector bundle

Recall Given a rep'n W of $Q \leadsto$ locally free coherent sheaf \underline{W} of $\text{Sh}_K(G)$.

Goal: Compute $H^i(\text{Sh}_K(G), \underline{W})$ (e.g. $H^i(M_K^*, \omega^k)$)

Fact: $\Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^1 \cong \Omega_{G_{\mathbb{C}}/Q}^1 = (\mathbb{P}^+)^*$ (for the adjoint Q -action)

$$\Rightarrow \Omega_{\text{Sh}_K(G)}^1 = \underline{\mathbb{P}}^-$$

Assume that $\text{Sh}_K(G)$ is compact.

Consider the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Sh}_K(G)}^{\text{hol}} \rightarrow \underline{C}^\infty \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \underline{\Omega}^1 \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \underline{\Omega}^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}^{\dim \text{Sh}_G(K_f)} \rightarrow 0$$

\parallel \parallel
 $\underline{C}^\infty \otimes (\underline{P}^-)^*$ $\underline{C}^\infty \otimes \wedge^2(\underline{P}^-)^*$
 b/c we've learned $\Omega^1 \cong (\underline{P}^+)^* \Rightarrow \underline{\Omega}^1 \cong (\underline{P}^+)^*$

\rightarrow tensor with $\underline{W} \rightarrow$ resolution of $\underline{W}^{\text{hol}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow H^*(\text{Sh}_G(K_f), \underline{W}) &= H^*\left(\left(\underline{C}^\infty(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})/K) \otimes W \rightarrow \underline{C}^\infty(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})/K) \otimes (\underline{P}^-)^* \otimes W \rightarrow \dots\right)^{K_\infty}\right) \\ &= H^*\left(\bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes (\pi_\infty \otimes \text{Hom}(\wedge^\bullet(\underline{P}^-), W))^{K_\infty}\right) \\ &=: \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes \underbrace{H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W)}_{(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)\text{-cohomology}} \end{aligned}$$

* What is (\mathfrak{g}, K_∞) -cohomology? (E.g. [Borel-Wallach, Chap 1])

① Lie algebra cohomology

\mathfrak{g} Lie algebra $\hookrightarrow V$ vector space.

Define $C^q(\mathfrak{g}; V) := \text{Hom}(\wedge^q(\mathfrak{g}), V)$

$d: C^q(\mathfrak{g}; V) \rightarrow C^{q+1}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$ is given by

$$df(x_0, \dots, x_q) = \sum_i (-1)^i x_i \cdot f(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_q) + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} f([x_i, x_j], x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_q)$$

The cohomology is $H^*(\mathfrak{g}; V)$ with $H^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) = V^{\mathfrak{g}=0}$.

② Relative Lie algebra cohomology

$\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ Lie subalgebra $\hookrightarrow V$ vector space

Define $C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V) := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\wedge^q(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}), V) \hookrightarrow C^q(\mathfrak{g}; V)$

$$\parallel$$

$$\left\{ f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V; \text{ s.t. } \begin{array}{l} f(x_1, \dots, x_q) \text{ depends only on each } x_i \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} \\ \sum_i f(x_1, \dots, [x, x_i], \dots, x_q) = x \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_q) \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{k} \end{array} \right\}$$

Can show that d sends $C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)$ into $C^{q+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)$

\leadsto The cohomology is $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)$.

③ (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology and (\mathfrak{q}, K) -cohomology.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie alg, not necessarily reductive (so either \mathfrak{g} or \mathfrak{q}).

$K := \text{max'l compact subgroup } (K = K_\infty) \supseteq K^\circ = \text{connected component of } K$

Assume that K is reductive.

Define $C^{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) := \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}), V) \cong C^{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)^{K/K^\circ}$

So we have $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) := H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)^{K/K^\circ}$

Theorem. $H^*(\text{Sh}_G(K_f), \underline{W}) = \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\otimes m(\pi)} \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{q}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W)$

Example $G = \text{GL}_2$, π_∞ discrete series $\pi_k \oplus \pi_{k+2} \oplus \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \leadsto & \begin{cases} H^0(\mathfrak{q}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W_{-k}) = 1\text{-dim'l} \\ H^1(\mathfrak{q}, K_\infty; \underbrace{\bar{\pi}_\infty \otimes W_{k-2}}_{\parallel}) = 1\text{-dim'l} \end{cases} \\ & \left(\dots \oplus \underbrace{\bar{\pi}_{k+2} \otimes \chi_{k-2}}_{\text{wt}=-4} \oplus \underbrace{\bar{\pi}_k \otimes \chi_{k-2}}_{\text{wt}=-2} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^+ \right) \end{aligned}$$

wt=2
↓
p⁺

Example: $F = \text{totally real field}$. $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{PGL}_2$

weight $\underline{k} = (k_\tau)_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})}$, k_τ all even.

$n_0 := \#\{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R}) ; k_\tau \leq 0\}$

$$H^*(\text{Sh}_G(K_f), \omega^{\underline{k}_\tau}) = \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f}) \otimes \bigotimes_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})} H^*(\mathfrak{q}_\tau, K_{\infty, \tau}; \pi_\tau \otimes \chi_{-k_\tau})$$

↑
multiplicity one
holds for PGL_n

↑
concentrated in one degree & dim 1.
in degree 0 if $k_\tau \geq 2$
in degree 1 if $k_\tau \leq 0$.

So $H^*(\text{Sh}_G(K_f), \omega^{\underline{k}_\tau})$ is concentrated in degree n_0

When V is an algebraic \mathbb{C} -rep'n of G (def'd over a number field)

$\rightsquigarrow \underline{V} =$ locally constant sheaf assoc. to V

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f) \quad \cdot \quad \mathcal{V} := \underline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f)} \leftarrow \text{de Rham local system}$$

$$(1 \otimes \nabla_{\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f)})$$

$$\text{Get } H_{\mathrm{Betti}}^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{L}^B(V)) = H^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f), \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \nabla} \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_{\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f)}^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_{\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f)}^d)$$

$$= H^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f), \underbrace{\mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_{\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f)}^{\bullet}}_{\text{C}^\infty\text{-resolution}})$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes H^*\left(\left(\pi_\infty \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{p}^+) \otimes \wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{p}^-), V)\right)^{K_\infty}\right)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes V)$$

Langlands observation: $\dim H^{\mathrm{mid}}(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes V(\lambda)) = \dim(\text{rep of } \hat{G} \text{ of highest wt } \mu)$

or rather this is how Langlands discovered the dual group.