

Counting Points on Shimura Varieties

Lecture 4

Tihang Zhu, Aug 16

Some corrections

(1) Piendonne mod M : finite free \mathbb{Z}_q -mod
with σ -linear F & σ^t -linear V .

$$FV = VF = p \text{ on } M$$

$$\text{existence of } V \Leftrightarrow pM \subset FM \subset M.$$

When $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}_q} M = 2$, we also impose the following condition:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(FM/pM) \stackrel{\square}{=} 1$$

0 or 1 or 2

We say M is "height 2 & dim 1".

Take a basis of M , $F \longleftrightarrow \delta\sigma$, $\delta \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

$$pM \subset FM \subset M \Leftrightarrow \delta \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \begin{pmatrix} p^a & \\ & p^b \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \text{ for some } 1 \geq a \geq b \geq 0.$$

additional condition $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(FM/pM) \Leftrightarrow (a, b) = (1, 0)$.

In the def'n of γ_p : associated to some fixed E_0/\mathbb{F}_q ,

$$M_0 = M_0(E_0) \supseteq F$$

$$Y_p := \left\{ \mathbb{Z}_q\text{-lattices } \Lambda \subset M_0 \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] \mid p\Lambda \subset \boxed{F\Lambda} \subset \Lambda \text{ & } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(F\Lambda/p\Lambda) = 1 \right\}$$

i.e. (Λ, F) is a D -mod of ht 2 & dim 1 itself.

$$= \left\{ \mathbb{Z}_q\text{-lattices } \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Q}_q^{\oplus 2} \mid p\Lambda \subset \boxed{\delta \cdot \sigma(V)} \subset \Lambda \text{ & } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\delta \cdot \sigma(\Lambda)/p\Lambda) = 1 \right\}$$

choose basis of M_0

$$g \cdot \mathbb{Z}_q^{\oplus 2}, g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)$$

$$= \left\{ g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)/GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \mid g^{-1} \cdot \delta \cdot \sigma(g) \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \begin{pmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \right\}$$

$$(2) TOS(F) = \sum_{x \in J_{n, \delta}(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K} F(x^{-1}\delta \cdot \sigma(x)) \cdot \frac{\text{vol}(\dots)}{\text{vol}(\dots)}$$

$J_{n,\delta}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K$ need NOT be finite.

But only finitely many x in this set satisfy

$$f(x^\dagger \delta \sigma(x)) = 0. \quad K \text{ is sufficiently small } \sigma\text{-invariant}$$

cpt open subgp. of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

s.t. f is bi-invariant under κ .

Point $((\sigma\text{-conj. class of } x) \cap \underbrace{\text{supp } f}_{\text{an's closed in } G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}) / \underbrace{K}_{\text{cpt. open}}$ is finite.

Last time $\#\mathcal{S}_K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{(\gamma_0, \delta)} c_\gamma(\gamma_0, \delta) \cdot O_{\gamma_0}(1_K) \cdot T O_{\delta}(\frac{f}{f_n})$

If γ_0 comes from E/\mathbb{F}_q , then

$$\#\{(E, \gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_K(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid E \rightarrow \gamma_0\} = c_\gamma(\gamma_0, \delta) \cdot O_{\gamma_0}(1_K) \cdot T O_{\delta}(\frac{f}{f_n})$$

Today If a pair (γ_0, δ) is s.t.

$$O_{\gamma_0}(1_K) T O_{\delta}(\frac{f}{f_n}) \neq 0,$$

then γ_0 indeed comes from some E/\mathbb{F}_q .

p.f. ① We show: π is an eigenvalue of γ_0 .

then π is a Weil q -number

i.e. an alg. integer π s.t. \forall cplx embedding

$\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the abs. val of π is $q^{1/2}$.

Recall $\det \gamma_0 = q$ (if $O_{\gamma_0}(1_K) T O_{\delta}(\frac{f}{f_n}) \neq 0$)

$$\Rightarrow \pi \cdot \bar{\pi} = q.$$

Only need: π is an alg. integer.

Over \mathbb{Q}_p : $\gamma_0 \xrightarrow{\text{conj}} \delta \cdot \sigma(\delta) \cdots \sigma^{n-1}(\delta)$

δ is σ -conj. to sth. in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \begin{pmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

We may assume $\delta \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \begin{pmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

i.e. $\delta \cdot \sigma(\delta) \cdots \sigma^{n-1}(\delta) \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)$

$\Rightarrow \text{tr } \gamma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q \cap \mathbb{Q}$ i.e. p -adic val of $\text{tr } \gamma_0 \geq 0$.

Similarly: $\gamma_0 \text{ cong. sth. in } K^p$ ($O_{\gamma_0}(1_{K^p}) \neq 0$)

$\text{tr } \gamma_0 = \text{trace of sth. in } K^p \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z}^{(p)})$

i.e. l -adic val of $\text{tr } \gamma_0 \geq 0$, $\forall l \neq p$.

$\Rightarrow \text{tr } \gamma_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($\det \gamma_0 = q$)

$\Rightarrow \pi$ is an alg. integer. \square

② Hodge-Tate theory If π is a Weil q -number,

then π comes from some simple abelian variety A/\mathbb{F}_q .

Need: $\dim A = 1$. Moreover, A is uniquely determined by π
up to isogeny / \mathbb{F}_q .

In general, $\dim A$ can be computed from properties of π
(more precisely: if $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\dim A = 1$).

moreover, A is ss. elliptic curve.

if not, $\dim A$ is def'd by val'n of π
at the place of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ above p .

After some book keeping, we just need:

Suppose $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \neq \mathbb{Q}$ i.e. $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ is an imag. quad. field
(b/c γ_0 is \mathbb{R} -elliptic).

We need: if p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$.

then the two val'n v_1, v_2 of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ above p
satisfy $v_1(\pi) = n$ & $v_2(\pi) = 0$.

if p is inert or ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$

then A is an elliptic curve (s.s.).

We ans. $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \neq \mathbb{Q}$ & ans. ϕ splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$.

Def'n F complete discrete valued field, γ s.s. $\in GL_n(F)$.

We say γ has a polar decomposition if $\gamma = \nu(p). k$

- ν cocharacter of GL_n/F , commuting with γ
- $\nu(p) \in GL_n(\bar{F})$

- $k \in GL_n(\bar{F})$ s.t. all eigenvalues of k have valuation 0.

Fact (Exercise)

If γ has a polar decomposition then it must be unique!

(\Rightarrow in this case, both $\nu(p)$ & $k \in GL_n(F)$).

Fact (non-trivial)

Suppose $\gamma \in GL_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ semi-simple s.t.

$\exists \delta \in GL_N(\mathbb{Q}_{p^n})$ s.t. $\gamma \sim \delta \cdot \sigma(\delta) \cdots \sigma^{n-1}(\delta)$.

Then $\exists t \geq 1$ s.t. over $F = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times n}}$, γ^t has a polar decomposition

& the radical part is $\nu_{\delta}^{nt}(p)$.

Here ν_{δ} is the Newton cocharacter of δ .

In our case $T\delta(f_n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \delta$ is σ -conj. to sth. in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)(\begin{smallmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Fact In this case, ν_{δ} has only two choices up to conjugacy.

$$\text{either } \nu_{\delta} : z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{or } z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z^{1/2} & \\ & z^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(In the second case, really just ν_{δ}^2 is well-def'd).

$\Rightarrow \exists t$, the radical part of δ^t is conjugate to

$$\text{either } \begin{pmatrix} p^{nt} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} p^{nt/2} & \\ & p^{nt/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall We assume $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ splits $/p$

\Rightarrow over \mathbb{Q}_p , γ_0 has two distinct eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2

$$\text{So } \gamma_0 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \\ & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{polar decomp}}{\equiv} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt[p]{\lambda_1} & \\ & \sqrt[p]{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & \\ & k_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is conjugate to $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt[p]{\lambda_1} & \\ & \sqrt[p]{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix}$

but is also cong. to $\begin{pmatrix} p^{nt} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} p^{\frac{nt}{2}} & \\ & p^{\frac{nt}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\underline{\text{Case 1}} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt[p]{\lambda_1} & \\ & \sqrt[p]{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} p^{nt} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_p(\lambda_1) = n, v_p(\lambda_2) = 0$$

$$\underline{\text{Case 2}} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt[p]{\lambda_1} & \\ & \sqrt[p]{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} p^{\frac{nt}{2}} & \\ & p^{\frac{nt}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_p(\lambda_1) = v_p(\lambda_2) = \frac{n}{2}$$

$\Rightarrow n$ must be even (or p is ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$)

Moreover $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ has all val's 0

at v of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ coprime to p , $N|l$,

$\gamma_0 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbb{Q}^l}$ sth. $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ $\Rightarrow v(\lambda_1) = v(\lambda_2) = 0$

at $v|p$, $v(\lambda_1) = v(\lambda_2)$.

$\Rightarrow \lambda_1/\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)}^{\times} \Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \text{ is a root of unity.}}$

imag. quad.

Now we deduce a contradiction

$\Rightarrow \gamma_0^k$ is central (k even) since $\det \gamma_0 = q$.

$\Rightarrow \gamma_0^k = \begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{k}{2}} & \\ & q^{\frac{k}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt[k]{q} & \\ & \sqrt[k]{q} \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt[k]{q} = k\text{th root of unity.}$

If $k=1$, contradiction!

γ_0 is central $\Rightarrow \pi = \sqrt[p]{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ is not imag. quad.
So $k > 1$.

$$\gamma_0 \sim \text{sth. } \in K^P \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}^P) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

for $N \geq 3$ & $p \nmid N$.

So we can take some prime power $l^2 \mid N$, $l \neq p$

$\Rightarrow \gamma_0 \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is conj. to

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l) \quad \& \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv 1 \pmod{l^2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt[p]{q} \equiv \sqrt[l]{q} \pmod{l^2} \quad (\text{inside } \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{l^2} \quad \text{i.e. } v_l(\zeta^2 - 1) \geq 2 \quad (\zeta^2 \in \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

Exercise Using $l^2 \geq 3$ & $v_l(\zeta^2 - 1) \geq 2 \Rightarrow \zeta^2 = 1$

arbitrary root of unity.

$\Rightarrow \gamma_0$ is central, so $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) = \mathbb{Q}$, contradiction! \square

Rmk Abstractly, we used the following property called "neat".

K^P is "neat" $\Rightarrow \forall \gamma_0 \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap K^P$

then the equivalences of γ_0 cannot differ from each other
by roots of unity.

i.e. the subgp they generate in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ is torsion free

Rmk From the pf: if some power of γ_0 is central, then γ_0 is central.

Actually: $\begin{cases} \text{non-central case} \\ \text{central case} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{ordinary case } \gamma_0 \rightsquigarrow \text{ordinary E} \\ \text{s.s. case } \gamma_0 \rightsquigarrow \text{s.s. E.} \end{cases}$

Next time 4) Discuss some new features in the general formula
that don't show up in GL_2 .

- (2) Informal introduction to the idea of TF, stabilization
& how point counting formula is related to TF
(after stabilization)
- (3) The proof of point counting formula in the abelian-type case
(rough).