

Counting Points on Shimura Varieties

Lecture 5

Tihang Zhu, Aug 18

Last time Rmk. In general, suppose $E/\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \gamma_0 \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$
 E is supersingular $\Leftrightarrow \exists k, \gamma_0^k$ is central.
 More precisely, γ_0^k is central
 $\Leftrightarrow (\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q} E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quaternion algebra.

There exist examples of ss elliptic curves
 st. γ_0 is NOT central,

but some power of γ_0 is central.

e.g. $q=p=3, \kappa=\sqrt{-3}$ Weil 3-number.

$\leadsto E/\mathbb{F}_3, E$ is ss but $\gamma_0 \sim \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-3} & \\ & \sqrt{-3} \end{pmatrix}$ not central,
 $\gamma_0^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \\ & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ central.

But we proved:

$\forall (E, \eta) \in \check{S}_\kappa(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then E is s.s. $\Leftrightarrow \gamma_0$ is central.

i.e. examples as above do not extend to a pair (E, η) over \mathbb{F}_q .

§1 General formula

(G, X) Shimura datum. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G \text{ der simply connected} \\ Z_G \text{ is cuspidal} \end{array} \right.$

$K = K^p K_p$, K^p "small enough" & K_p hyperspecial.

E reflex field. Fix a prime \mathfrak{p} of E over p .

\leadsto conjectural canonical integral model $\check{S}_K / \mathcal{O}_{E,(\mathfrak{p})}$.

Take some $q=p^n$ st. $\mathbb{F}_q \supset$ residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{E,(\mathfrak{p})}$.

$$\# \sum_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \stackrel{\text{conj.}}{=} \sum_{(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)} c(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) c_2(\gamma_0) O_{\delta}(I_{K^p}) T O_{\delta}(f_n)$$

Here: $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$ runs through $G(\mathbb{Q}) \times G(\mathbb{A}_f^p) \times G(\mathbb{Q}^n)$

with γ_0 is \mathbb{R} -elliptic

i.e. \exists max'l torus $T \subset G_{\mathbb{R}} / \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$\gamma_0 \in T(\mathbb{R})$ & $(T/Z_G)(\mathbb{R})$ is cpt.

γ is "strictly conjugate" to γ_0

i.e. $\gamma \sim \gamma_0$. $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p), \mathbb{A}_f^p = \mathbb{A}_f^p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$

Think $\gamma = (\gamma_l)_{l \neq p}, \gamma_l \in G(\mathbb{Q}_l), \gamma_l \sim_{G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)} \gamma_0$.

$\delta \in G(\mathbb{Q}^n), \delta \cdot \sigma(\delta) \dots \sigma^m(\delta) \sim_{G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})} \gamma_0$

i.e. the stable conjugacy class of δ_0
is the deg n norm of δ .

Now, given $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$ as above. + some hypothesis

One defines a Cartan Galois cohomological invariant

"Kottwitz invariant".

$\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$ lying in some finite abelian gp
depending only on γ_0 .

In the summation, only those $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$ w/ $\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) = 0$ should appear.

* Summation is over $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$ satisfying $\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) = 0$

up to an equivalence relation

$$(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) \sim (\gamma_0', \gamma', \delta')$$

if $\gamma_0 \sim_{G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})} \gamma_0', \gamma \sim_{G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)} \gamma'$, and

δ is σ -conjugate to δ' in $G(\mathbb{Q}^n)$

Key Point if $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) \sim (\gamma_0', \gamma', \delta')$,

then $\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha(\gamma_0', \gamma', \delta') = 0$.

Summand $C_1(\gamma_0, \delta, \delta) C_2(\gamma_0) O_2(1_{\mathbb{R}^p}) TO_2(f_n)$

- $C_1(\gamma_0, \delta, \delta)$: given $(\gamma_0, \delta, \delta)$,
 - (i) write $\gamma = (\gamma_l)_{l \neq p}$, $\gamma_l \in G(\mathbb{Q}_l)$.
 G_{γ_l} is an inner form of $(G_{\gamma_0})_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$.
 - (ii) $J_{n, \delta}$ is an inner form of $(G_{\gamma_0})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Want global inner form I of G_{γ_0}/\mathbb{Q} .

- s.t.
- (i) $I_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{Z}G_{\mathbb{R}}$ is cpt.
 - (ii) $I_{\mathbb{Q}_l} \cong G_{\gamma_l}$ as inner forms of $(G_{\gamma_0})_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$.
 - (iii) $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong J_{n, \delta}$ as inner forms of $(G_{\gamma_0})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

→ Then we define

$$C_1(\gamma_0, \delta, \delta) = \text{vol}(I(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash I(\mathbb{A}^f))$$

Note For a general $(\gamma_0, \delta, \delta)$, no reason why global I should exist.

But if $\alpha(\gamma_0, \delta, \delta) = 0$, then I exists!

Actually: $\alpha(\gamma_0, \delta, \delta) = 0$ is stronger than I exists.

$$C_2(\gamma_0) := \# \ker(\text{III}(G_{\gamma_0}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, G))$$

$= \bigoplus_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{R}}, G_{\mathbb{R}})$ "Direct sum" of pointed sets

where $\text{III}(G_{\gamma_0}) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{\gamma_0}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{A}, G_{\gamma_0}))$

$$O_2(1_{\mathbb{R}^p}) = \int_{G(\mathbb{A}^f) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}^f)} I_{\mathbb{R}^p}(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx$$

$TO_2(f_n)$ = same as in GL_2 .

Here $f_n: G(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is as follows

- $\forall h \in X =$ a $G(\mathbb{R})$ -conjugacy class of homo's
 $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} G_m \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

- $h_c: G_m \times G_m \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$
 $\text{id}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $\bar{\cdot}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_h: G_{m, \mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathbb{C}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & h_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \end{array} \quad \text{"Hodge cocharacter of } h"$$

The $G(\mathbb{C})$ -conj. class of μ_h is def'd/E. (by def'n of E).
 \rightarrow In particular if F/E field ext'n,
 we can get a (conj. class of cochars of G) / F .

Now if G is quasi-split / F ,

this is the same as a $G(F)$ -conj. class of
 F -rational cochars: $G_{m, F} \rightarrow G_F$.

Now G is quasi-split / \mathbb{Q}_p

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \supset E_p \supset E$$

$\rightarrow G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -conj. class of cochars of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Take one member μ which extends to a cochar of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$

Recall \mathcal{G} is a red. gp sch / \mathbb{Z}_p s.t. $\kappa_p = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

$f_n = \text{char func'n of } \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mu_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdot \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$
 (indep. of the choice of μ).

E.g. GL_2 , $f_n = \text{char func'n } GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \begin{pmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Prmk $\tau_0(f_n) \neq 0$ then "Kottwitz homomorphism"

$$\kappa: G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G)_{\text{Gal}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

sends δ to some fixed elt in $\pi_1(G)_{\text{Gal}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ (def'd by κ).

Actually, this condition is needed in order to define (τ_0, τ, δ)

Prmk Why should we expect for (τ_0, τ, δ) , global I should exist?

In PEL case A/\mathbb{F}_q + PEL structure

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma \longleftrightarrow \text{Frob} \in \text{End}(A)$
 $\searrow \text{TF}^1(A)$ \swarrow $\xi(r)$ -module is also equipped w/ PE structure.

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma \in G(A_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1)$, $\delta \longleftrightarrow \text{abs Frob} \subset \text{Mo}(A)$.

Global I: is isomorphic to the \mathbb{Q} -gp:

$$\forall \mathbb{Q}\text{-alg. } R \longmapsto (\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A, \text{PE str.}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R)^{\times}$$

Rmk $\# \mathcal{S}_K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q | H_{\text{et}}^i(\mathcal{S}_K, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell))$.

More generally:

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q \times f^P | H_{\text{et}}^i(-))$$

with $f^P \in H(G(A_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1) // K^P)$.

Similar formula, where $O_{\mathbb{Z}}(1_{K^P})$ is replaced by $O_{\mathbb{Z}}(f^P)$.

§2 Known cases of the conjecture

Kottwitz Around 1990: proved the conj. for PEL type Shimura varieties of type A, C.

1990 - Now Some sporadic cases beyond PEL type but closely related to PEL.

Recent (Kisin - Shin - Zhu)

All abelian type cases

Remove $\begin{cases} G_{\text{der}} \text{ simply connected} \\ \mathbb{Z}G \text{ is cuspidal.} \end{cases}$

(See next Lecture).

§3 Informal introduction to Trace Formulas

Langlands "Compare" the formula for $\# \mathcal{S}_K(\mathbb{F}_q)$ w/ TF from rep'n theory.

i.e. stable Arthur-Selberg TF.

Basics "nice" topological gp H
 (Hausdorff, locally cpt, unimodular)
 discrete subgp $\Gamma \in H$.
 $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$ as an H -rep'n.

Here H acts by right translation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \textcircled{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}(h) & : L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) & \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \\ \uparrow & \varphi \longmapsto & (x \mapsto \varphi(xh)) \\ \text{unitary rep'n.} & & \end{array}$$

Fundamental Question How \mathbb{R} "decomposes" into irreducible unitary rep's of H ?

E.g. $H = \mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$.

Unitary irred. rep's of H parametrized by $y \in i\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_y: H & \longrightarrow & GL_1(\mathbb{C}) \\ x & \longmapsto & e^{yx} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2(\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow[\text{isometry}]{\sim} & L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \varphi \longmapsto & & \boxed{\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\varphi}: \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ n & \longmapsto & \hat{\varphi}(n) \end{array}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{nth Fourier coefficient of } \varphi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \end{aligned}$$

H -action on $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$x \in H = \mathbb{R}, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

$$(x \cdot \psi)(n) = e^{2\pi i n x} \cdot \psi(n)$$

$$L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \hat{\bigoplus}_n \mathbb{C} \cong \hat{\bigoplus}_n \textcircled{\pi 2\pi i n}$$

$$\psi \longleftrightarrow (\psi(n))_n.$$

The n th copy of \mathbb{C} is $\pi 2\pi i n$ as an H -rep'n.

Conclusion $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \cong \hat{\bigoplus}_n \tau_{\text{eigen}}$ as H -rep's

We say $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$ decomposes discretely.

In contrast, $H = \mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma = \{0\}$

Fourier transform $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \cong \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \tau_y dy$. "continuous spectrum".

Key Whether $\Gamma \backslash H$ is cpt !!

From now on, assume $\Gamma \backslash H$ is cpt. ring under convolution

IF: We have an associated $\mathcal{L}_c(H) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$

$$R(f) : L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$$

$$R(f) = \int_H \underline{R(h)} f(h) dh.$$

fixed Haar measure on H .

$$\text{i.e. } [R(f)](x) = \int_H \varphi(xh) f(h) dh.$$

Now, $\forall f \in \mathcal{L}_c(H)$

$$\text{Tr}(R(f)|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)}) \stackrel{\text{disc. decomp.}}{=} \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha} \text{tr}(f|_{\alpha}) \quad \text{"spectral expansion"}$$

"Geometric expansion":

$$\text{Tr}(R(f)|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash H \gamma) \cdot O_{\gamma}(f).$$

up to conj.

$$O_{\gamma}(f) = \int_{H \backslash H} f(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx.$$

Example/Exercise In the case $\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}$:

equality between geom. exp & spectral exp.
amounts to Poisson Summation Formula.

In the case $\Gamma \backslash H$ non-cpt:

- $\text{Tr}(R(f)) | L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$ doesn't make sense
- Geom. exp & spectral exp. don't make sense.

Now: want to apply this idea to $\Gamma \backslash H = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$
for some reductive G/\mathbb{Q} .

BAD news $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ often non-cpt
even if you replace G by G^{ad} !!
(E.g. $G = \text{GL}_2$ on SL_2 non-cpt!)

Arthur Invariant TF:

He defines an invariant distribution on $G(\mathbb{A})$.

↑ conjugation-invariant.

i.e. $I: \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f \longmapsto I(f)$

"I is like $f \longmapsto \text{Tr}(R(f)) | L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ ".

I has geometric exp: Assume G_{der} is simply connected.

$$I(\cdot) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \\ \text{conj. elliptic}}} \text{vol}(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \cdot \underbrace{O_\gamma(\cdot)}_{< \infty}$$

↑ Tamagawa number $t(G_\gamma)$ of G_γ .

+ Some much more complicated terms.

I has spectral exp:

$$L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) = L^2_{\text{disc}} \oplus L^2_{\text{cont.}}$$

$$L^2_{\text{disc}} = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} m_\pi^{\text{disc}} \cdot \pi$$

unitary irreps of G

$$I(\cdot) = \sum_{\pi} m_\pi^{\text{disc}} \cdot \text{tr}(\cdot | \pi) + \text{Some much more complicated terms}$$

↑ Not easy to explain.

Preview This invariant TF has a problem:

$I(\cdot)$ or $O_s(\cdot)$ or $\text{tr}(\cdot | \pi)$

are NOT invariant under stable conjugacy.