

## Grothendieck Topology and the Notion of Rigid Spaces.

(A). Generally, a Grothendieck topology  $\mathcal{T}$  consists of:

$\Rightarrow$  a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , and a ~~family~~ set  $\text{Cov}(\mathcal{T})$  of families of morphisms  $(U_i \rightarrow U)_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , s.t.

(i) If  $U \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism, then  $(U \rightarrow V) \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{T})$

(ii) If  $(U_i \rightarrow U)_{i \in I} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{T})$ ,  $(V_j \rightarrow U_i)_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{T})$ , then  $(V_{ij} \rightarrow U_i) \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{T})$

(iii) If  $(U_i \rightarrow U) \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{T})$ ,  $V \rightarrow U$  a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$(U_i \times_U V \rightarrow V) \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{T})$$

In our case,  $\mathcal{C}$  is ~~an~~ a category consists of certain subsets of a set  $X$ , with inclusions as morphisms, ~~the~~ intersection as fiber products.

Def.  $X$  a given set, we call  $X$  is a  $G$ -topological space ~~if~~ ~~the~~ when we are given:

(i) A system  $S$  of ~~open~~ subsets of  $X$ , called admissible open subsets.

(ii) A family  $\{\text{Cov } U\}_{U \in S}$  of systems of coverings, called admissible coverings, where  $\text{Cov } U$  for  $U \in S$  contains coverings  $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}$  by sets in  $S$ .

s.t. Admissible open subsets and admissible coverings satisfy the condition of Grothendieck topology. (with  $U_i \times_U V = U_i \cap V$ )

Def.  $\neq$  Weak Grothendieck topology.

For an affinoid space  $X$ , ~~the~~ admissible open subsets are affinoid subdomains, admissible coverings are  $(U_i \rightarrow U)_{i \in I}$ , s.t.  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  and ~~the~~  $I$  is finite.

Then for morphism of affinoid  $\mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$ ,  $\varphi$  is continuous w.r.t. ~~weak~~ this topology. here continuous "means"  $\varphi^{-1}$  takes admissible open/covering to admissible open/covering.

~~Def.~~ Strong Grothendieck topology

Remark. Tate's cyclicity then implies that  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a sheaf w.r.t. weak Grothendieck topology.

Def. Strong Grothendieck Topology.  $X$  an affinoid sp.  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$

1. A subset  $U \subseteq X$  is admissible open if  $\exists$  covering ~~( $U_i \rightarrow U$ )~~ by affinoid spaces subdomains  $U_i \subseteq X$ , s.t. for all morphisms of affinoid  $K$ -spaces  $Z \rightarrow X$ , ~~with  $\varphi(Z) \subseteq U$~~ , ~~( $\varphi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(U)$ )~~  $\bullet$  the covering

$Z = \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi^{-1}(U_i)$  admits a finite refinement ~~of~~ by affinoid subdomains

2. A covering  $V = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j$  is called admissible if  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow X$  with  $\varphi(Z) \subseteq V$ ,

the covering  $Z = \bigcup_{j \in J} \varphi^{-1}(V_j)$  admits a finite refinement by affinoid subdomains.

(B) Rmk (BGR). (Slightly finer)

Def.  $T$  and  $T'$  are  $G$ -topologies on a fixed ~~space~~ <sup>set</sup>  $X$ .  $T'$  is called slightly finer than  $T$ ,

if (i)  $T'$  is finer than  $T$

(ii) The  $T$ -open subsets of  $X$  form a basis of  $T'$  (i.e. if  $U$  is  $T'$ -open,  $\exists (U_i \rightarrow U)$  is a  $T'$ -covering, and  $U_i \in T$ )

(iii) For each  $T'$ -covering  $(U_i \rightarrow U)$  with  $U$  a  $T$ -open subset  $U \subseteq X$ ,

$\exists$  a  $T$ -covering refines  $(U_i \rightarrow U)$  (Prop 5.2/4)

~~st~~ On an affinoid space  $X$ , strong grothendieck-topology is slightly finer than weak grothendieck topology.

Conditions  $(G_0)$   $\emptyset$  and  $X$  are admissible open

on  $G$ -topology.  $(G_1)$   $U \subseteq X$  admissible open,  $V \subseteq U$  a subset. Assume that  $\exists$  admissible covering

$(U_i \rightarrow U)$ , s.t.  $\forall U_i$  is admissible open in  $X$ . then  $V$  is an admissible open

$(G_2)$   $(U_i \rightarrow U)$  is ~~an admissible~~ covering of an admissible open set  $U \subseteq X$ ,

with  $U_i$  admissible open in  $X$ . Assume  $\forall (U_i \rightarrow U)$  admits a refinement that is admissible, then  $(U_i \rightarrow U)$  is admissible.

Facts. Let  $X$  be a set and  $T$  a  $G$ -topology on  $X$ , then there exists a

unique finest  $G$ -topology ~~&~~  $T'$  on  $X$  among all the  $G$ -topologies

slightly finer than  $T$ ; and  $T'$  satisfies  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_2)$ ; when  $T$  satisfies  $(G_0)$

$T'$  also satisfies  $(G_0)$

[BGR] P. 339-340.

We leave the details to Appendix.

The construction of the "unique finest topology among those slightly finer than  $\tau$ " is ~~the~~ "universal": If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of affinoid space,

then  $\varphi$  is continuous w.r.t. the weak topology

$\Rightarrow$  continuous w.r.t. the strong topology.

(Also can be checked directly)



Proposition. Let  $X$  be an affinoid  $K$ -space, for  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ , consider

$$U = \{x: |f(x)| < 1\}$$

$$U' = \{x: |f(x)| > 1\}$$

$$U'' = \{x: |f(x)| = 1\}$$

Then Any finite union of this type is admissible open. Any finite covering by finite union of this type is admissible

Cor Since ~~any~~ any Zariski open subset is a finite union of <sup>subsets of</sup> type  $U''$ ,

~~Zar~~ Strong topology is finer than Zariski topology.

proof. Here we prove the case for  $U$ .

~~Consider~~ Choose  $\varepsilon_v \in \sqrt[n]{|K^*|}$ , s.t.  $\varepsilon_v < 1$  and  $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_v = 1$ .  $\sqrt[n]{|K^*|} = \{s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : s^n \in |K^*|\}$

we have  $U = \bigcup_{v=0}^{\infty} X(\varepsilon_v^{-1} f)$  ~~and we prove that it gives the cover~~ for some  $n$

For  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow X$ , with  $\varphi(Z) \subseteq U$ ,  $X(g) = \{x \in X: |g(x)| \leq 1\}$ ,  $X(\varepsilon_v^{-1} f) = X(\varepsilon_v^{-n} f^n)$ , have  $\varepsilon_v^{-n} \in |K^*|$

$$\text{we have } |\varphi^*(f)(z)| = |f(\varphi(z))| < 1 \xrightarrow{\text{maximum principle}} |\varphi^*(f)|_{\text{sup}} < 1$$

$$\text{while } Z = \bigcup_{v=0}^{\infty} Z(\varepsilon_v^{-1} \varphi^*(f))$$

so ~~only~~ only finitely many  $v$  s.t.  $Z(\varepsilon_v^{-1} \varphi^*(f)) \neq \emptyset$

certainly admits a finite subcover. This shows that  $U$  is admissible open

Now, why do we define the strong topology?

Every sheaf on weak topology can be extended (functorially) to a sheaf on strong topology. \*

On the other hand, strong topology behave well with <sup>taking</sup> "subspace topology"!

Prop. Let  $T$  be a  $G_1$ -topology on  $X$  satisfying condition  $(G_0) - (G_2)$

~~then~~  $\Rightarrow$  Let  $(X_i \rightarrow X)$  be an admissible covering.

then (i)  $U \subseteq X$  a subset is admissible open iff  $\bigcup X_i$  is admissible open

(ii)  $(U_i \rightarrow U)$  a covering of some admissible open set  $U \subseteq X$

is admissible iff  $(\bigcup X_j \rightarrow \bigcup X_j)$  is admissible.

Prop. Let  $X$  be a set,  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  a covering of  $X$ .  $T_i$  are  $G_1$ -topologies on  $X_i$ , s.t.  $T_i$  satisfies  $(G_0) - (G_2)$  and  $X_i \cap X_j$  is  $T_i$ -open; and  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  restricts to the same Grothendieck topology on  $X_i \cap X_j$ . Then there exists a unique  $G_1$ -topology  $T$  on  $X$ , s.t.

(i)  $X_i$  is  $T$ -open in  $X$ , and  $T$  induces  $T_i$  on  $X_i$

(ii)  $T$  satisfies  $(G_0) - (G_2)$

(iii)  $(X_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$  is ~~an~~ a  $T$ -covering.

Proof. Define  $T$  as following:

① A subset  $U$  is  $T$ -open ~~iff~~ if each  ~~$X_i \cap U$~~   $X_i \cap U$  is  $T_i$ -open

② A covering  $(U_i \rightarrow U)$  of  $T$ -open subsets  $U_i \subseteq X$  is a  $T$ -covering if

$(X_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \bigcup X_i)$  is  $T_i$ -open.  $\square$

From the above propositions, one <sup>can</sup> see the need of certain completeness property  $(G_0) - (G_2)$ .

(c) Sheaves.

$\nexists$  A presheaf on a  $G_1$ -topological space  $X$  is a contravariant functor from Category of admissible opens to groups/rings/etc.

A sheaf is a presheaf  $F$  s.t.

$F(U) \rightarrow \prod F(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod F(U_i \cap U_j)$  is exact for admissible coverings.

Def. (Stalk). For a  $G$ -top. space  $X$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  a presheaf on  $X$ .

$x \in X$  a point, define  $\mathcal{F}_x = \varinjlim_{\substack{U \in \mathcal{U} \\ x \in U}} \mathcal{F}(U)$  to be the stalk of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $x$ .  
 $U$  admissible.

~~Prop.~~ If  $\mathcal{T}'$  is slightly finer than  $\mathcal{T}$

Def. (Sheafification)  $\mathcal{F}$  a ~~presheaf~~ presheaf on a  $G$ -topological sp.  $X$ .

$\exists$  A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}'$  and a morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ , s.t.

$$\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}) \text{ for any sheaf } \mathcal{G}.$$

and this  $\mathcal{F}'$  is unique up to isomorphism. (Also thm).

~~Prop.~~ sketch of proof. Define  $\check{H}^0(U, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^0(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F})$

$\mathcal{F}^+ = (U \mapsto \check{H}^0(U, \mathcal{F}))$  and  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$  given by the canonical map  
 $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow H^0(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F})$

Then ①  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is separated

② If  $\mathcal{F}$  is separated or, then  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is a sheaf.  $\square$

Prop.  $\mathcal{T}'$  is slightly finer than  $\mathcal{T}$ , then a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  can be (functorially) extended to a  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sheaf  $\mathcal{F}'$ , and this extension is unique up to isomorphism.

Sketch  $U \mapsto \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^0(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F})$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  <sup>runs over</sup> ~~are~~ admissible ~~open~~  $\mathcal{T}'$ -covering  
 such that  $\underline{U} = (\underline{U}_i)$   $U_i$  are  $\mathcal{T}$ -open. It is easy to see  $\mathcal{F}'$  extends  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

Cor. There is an extension of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  to the strong Grothendieck topology on an affinoid space  $X$ .  $\square$

(D) Rigid Spaces.

Def. A  $G$ -ringed  $K$ -space is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  consisting of a  $G$ -topological space  $X$  and a sheaf of  $K$ -algebras.  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is called a locally ringed  $K$ -space if all the stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$  are local rings.

Since a stalk  
 For an affinoid space, since the local ring (of weak topology) is local and strong topology is slightly finer than the weak topology,  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a locally  $G$ -ringed  $K$ -space.

Def. A morphism of  $G$ -ringed  $K$ -space  $(\varphi, \varphi^*) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  consists of a continuous map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  and a compatible system of maps  $\mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(V))$ .

Further, when  $X, Y$  are locally  $G$ -ringed, we require a morphism of  $G$ -ringed  $K$ -spaces require ~~to be~~  $\varphi_x^* : \mathcal{O}_{Y, \varphi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$  to be a local homomorphism.

Prop. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be affinoid spaces. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Locally ringed space}}((X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Algebra}}(\mathcal{O}_Y(Y), \mathcal{O}_X(X))$$

proof. Step 1. For  $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ , gives a morphism of affinoid space.  
~~For~~ For an affinoid

Step 1. Fix a morphism of affinoid  $K$ -spaces  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\varphi$  gives  
 (1) for an affinoid  $V \subseteq Y$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$  is an affinoid and  $\varphi$  induces a morphism of affinoid  $K$ -spaces  $\varphi_V : \varphi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_Y(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi_V^*} \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(V))$   
 (2) for an admissible open  $V \subseteq Y$ , choose an admissible ~~one~~ affinoid covering  $(V_i \rightarrow V)$  of  $V$ . then we can obtain  $\varphi_V^*$  from

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_Y(V) & \rightarrow & \prod \mathcal{O}_Y(V_i) & \cong & \prod \mathcal{O}_Y(V_i \cap V_j) \\ \vdots & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(V)) & \rightarrow & \dots & \cong & \dots \end{array}$$

Then  $(\varphi, \varphi^*)$  is a morphism of  $G$ -ringed  $K$ -spaces

Step 2.  $m_{\varphi(x)} = (\varphi_x^*)^{-1}(m_x)$  for  $x \in X$ , and maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \varphi(x)}(\mathcal{O}_{X, x})$  is generated by  $m_{\varphi(x)}(m_x)$ , so  $\varphi_x^* : \mathcal{O}_{Y, \varphi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$  is a local hom.



Step 3.  $(\varphi, \varphi^*): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \iff \varphi_Y^*: \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$   
 $1:1$

Only need to prove: for a  $\sigma^*: \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ , there is a unique  $(\varphi, \varphi^*): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ , s.t.  $\varphi_Y^* = \sigma^*$

①  $\varphi$  is unique:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^* = \varphi_Y^*} & \mathcal{O}_X(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y, \varphi(x)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_x^*} & \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_X(X) / \underline{m}_x \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X, x} / \underline{m}_x \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$$

implies  $\underline{m}_{\varphi(x)} = (\sigma^*)^{-1} \underline{m}_x \Rightarrow \varphi(x)$  is determined by  $\sigma^*$

②  $\varphi_V^*$  is unique: only need to check the case  $V$  is an affinoid subdomain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y^* = \sigma^*} & \mathcal{O}_X(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_Y(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_V^*} & \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi(V)) \end{array} \quad \varphi_V^* \text{ is unique by the universal property of } V \hookrightarrow Y.$$

□

Rmk. An inclusion of an affinoid subdomain  $U \hookrightarrow X$  give rise to an open immersion  $(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$

This allow us to globalize affinoid.

Def. A rigid  $k$ -space is a locally  $G$ -ringed  $k$ -space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

s.t. (i)  $G$ -topology of  $X$  satisfy  $(G_0) - (G_2)$

(ii)  $X$  admits an admissible cover  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ , s.t.  $(X_i, \mathcal{O}_X|_{X_i})$  is an affinoid subdomain.

Pasting and glueing

Prop.  $X_i$ , open subspace  $X_{ij} \subseteq X_i$ , and isomorphisms  $X_{ij} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{ij}} X_j$ ,

s.t.  $\varphi_{ii} = \text{id}$ ,  $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{ji}^{-1}$ ,  $\varphi_{ijk}: X_{ij} \cap X_{jk} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{ji} \cap X_{jk}$  satisfy cocycle condition

Then  $X_i$  glue up to a rigid space  $X$ .